IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

MONDAY, THE 16TH JULY 2007 / 25TH ASHADHA 1929

RP.No. 600 of 2007(M)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.15879/2007 Dated 01/06/2007

.....

PETITIONER

CHURCH OF GOD (FULL GOSPEL) IN INDIA,
MYLAPRA, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY,
C.T.JOHN, AGED 73 YEARS,S/O.THOMAS,
KARIMKUTTICKAL EBENEZER,MYLAPRA MURI AND
MYLAPRA VILLAGE.

BY ADV. SRI. K.SHAJ
SRI.SAJJU.S
SRI.B.BALRAJ

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS

---

1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
PATHANAMTHITTA.

2. MYLAPRA GRAMA PANCHAYAT REPRESENTED
BY ITS SECRETARY,MYLAPRA,
PATHANAMTHITTA.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.SHYSON P.MANGUZHA

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 16/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

R.P.NO. 600 OF 2007

Dated this the 16th day of July , 2007

O R D E R

My judgment dated 1.6.2007 is sought to be reviewed on the ground that neither the Government nor the District Collector are parties to O.S. No.169/2007 and that the interim order passed in the suit need not stand in the way of the District Collector passing appropriate orders on the statutory application which is pending before him.

2. Heard Sri.K.Shaj, the learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri.Shyson P.Manguzha, the learned Government Pleader for the respondents. I do not propose to go into the merits of the grounds raised. My judgment was delivered solely on the basis of the submissions of the Government Pleader and I was at that time under the impression that the District Collector was also a party to the suit. Annexure A1 will show that neither the District Collector nor the State is party. Accordingly, I recall my judgment.

Post the writ petition before the learned Judge who is presently hearing matters relating to Panchayat Raj Act and connected matters.

The Review Petition will stand allowed as above.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE,
JUDGE.

dpk